

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/767,585	01/29/2004	Reinhold Bruestle	147261	6183
	7590 04/05/200 PATENT LAW GROU	EXAMINER		
611 OLIVE STREET, SUITE 1611			JAWORSKI, FRANCIS J	
ST. LOUIS, MO 63101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3768	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		\sim		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/767,585	BRUESTLE, REINHOLD		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jaworski Francis J.	3768		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by si Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a land. In the string of the string o	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	2 January 2007.			
	This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits				
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) <u>1 - 23</u> is/are pending in the applicate) Of the above claim(s) is/are with				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 23</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
1. Certified copies of the priority docum				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	· •	received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bu				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.		
Markey and a				
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intentious	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Provided (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	• ==	s)/Mail Date		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/767,585

Art Unit: 3768

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 23 as amended are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barthe et al or Flesch, in either case further in view of Ingebrigtsen et al..

If the former be viewed as falling short of anticipation e.g. under the argument that a glue glob may remain soft at a wetness barrier such as is the case with with certain plumbing sealants and therefore in which case the connecting member would lack a rigid portion at the seal, it would nonetheless have been obvious in view of Ingebrigtsen et al for a flex circuit connecting member 12 (to which the primary flex circuit connecting member 11 for this mechanical sector scanhead of the Barthe et al type connects) to connect to rigid pins 3A,3B of plug 3 which pins form part of that seal to the wet chamber. And insofar as it is not literally stated in the latter that there is a dry chamber beyond the plug (which is a reasonable assertion absent any further information since the fluid-filled scanhead is detachable) then it would have been further obvious in view of Flesch et al that this is the case, since the latter notes col. 4 lines 57 – 60 that the presence of an O-ring such as depicted on the perimeter of plug 3 in Ingebrigtsen et al would suggest a transition from a wet to dry chamber environment.

Application/Control Number: 10/767,585 Page 3

Art Unit: 3768

Additionally, since the claim 1 format for example is an open construct, i.e. 'comprising' one may read for 'single' - first -- and therefore in Ingebrigtsen there is at least a single rigid connector in terms of rigid seal 3 which seals the first chamber from the second, and flexible cable portions which would be connected to pins 3A etc, such that the former may comprise at least a single or a first such single connection rearward of the wet dry seal. Otherwise the arguments remain as set forth in the prior Office action. Dow et al US4649925 is cited as of general interest.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jaworski Francis J. at telephone number 571-272-4738.

FJJ:fjj

3-31-07

Francis J. Jaworski Primary Examiner